RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR

THE LEIDEN-SARIN INTERNATIONAL AIR LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION

(Applicable at and from 1 October 2026)

Chapter I GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1 – Function

a) The following Rules govern the procedure of the Leiden–Sarin International Air Law Moot Court Competition (hereinafter "The Competition").

b) The Competition shall include, if necessary, pursuant to the provisions of Article 4(a), National Pre-Selection Rounds to be held domestically in the jurisdiction of a participating State in accordance with the National Pre-Selection Rounds Rules of Procedure (RoP) of the Competition. The Competition shall also include an International Round (comprising Semi-Finals and Final).

Article 2 – Organisation

a) The Competition is a joint initiative of the Sarin Memorial Legal Aid Foundation, Chandigarh, India, and the International Institute of Air and Space Law (IIASL), Leiden Law School, Leiden University, The Netherlands, hereinafter also referred to as the "Organising Parties". Other parties may join the organisation of the Competition, subject to the mutual agreement of the Organising Parties.

b) The organisation of the Competition shall be the joint responsibility of the Sarin Memorial Legal Aid Foundation and the IIASL.

c) The organising parties shall make an agreement with an institution in the host country for the organisation of the Competition in that country.

d) The organising parties shall designate an International Air Law Moot Court Bureau, also referred to as the "BUREAU", which will be responsible for the overall organisation of the Competition. The BUREAU shall provide the participating universities with all necessary information.

© International Institute of Air and Space Law (Leiden University) and Sarin Memorial Legal Aid Foundation, 2023

Article 3 – Participation: Eligibility

a) The Competition is open to any student enrolled on a Bachelor of Laws (B.A. or LL.B), Master of Laws (LL.M.) or Juris Doctor (J.D.) programme at an accredited university who has less than five (5) years of experience in litigation.

b) The language of the Competition (at all stages), including the National Pre-Selection Round (if applicable), shall be English.

c) Each team will represent a university and each university shall be represented by a maximum of one (1) team. The selection of the team members shall be an internal matter for each university.

d) The maximum number of universities from a single country participating in the International Round shall be three (3) (see also Article 4).

e) The total number of teams permitted to compete in the Semi-Finals of the Competition shall be decided in conjunction with the host organisation.

f) Where the number of registered teams for the Semi-Finals exceeds the maximum number decided upon, a decision on capping the number of teams participating will be made by the BUREAU based on:

   i) the possibility of a reduction of the maximum number of teams eligible to represent a country from three (3) to two (2) or one (1); and
   ii) geographical representation.

g) The final determination of the number of teams shall be made by the BUREAU within ten (10) calendar days after the registration deadline, and the BUREAU shall inform the teams of the final binding decision.

h) Notwithstanding Articles 3 e), f), and g), a place in the Competition shall be reserved for a team representing the host institution, or in case the host institution is not a university capable of composing a team, then to one (1) team from a university in the host country.

i) Students are not allowed to participate more than once for the same university in a national round or the International Rounds, such disclosure (if any) shall be made at the time of application for the Competition. Non-compliance with this paragraph or non – disclosure of this information, shall result in penalisation by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of these RoP.

j) Each team shall register via the link provided on the website of the Competition (www.airlawmootcourt.com). Countries requiring a national round may ask for additional registration through the national organiser. A registration deadline shall be provided.

k) The BUREAU shall assign each participating team a registration number after an announced registration deadline.

l) After receiving a registration number, each team must pay a fee of EUR 300 to complete the registration. Non-payment shall result in the defaulting team being excluded from the Competition. The fee is not refundable in case of cancellation by the team. The winner(s) of the national rounds must pay the fee of EUR 300 in order to participate in the International Rounds.

m) In order to participate in the Competition, each team shall fulfil the following travel requirements:

   i) Each team shall bear their own travel costs to and from the destination of the International Rounds; and

   ii) All team members registering for the Competition must be in possession of a valid passport; and

   iii) All team members will be responsible for applying for relevant visas, should they be required, and to be in possession of such visas two weeks prior to the Competition. The BUREAU will attempt to assist with invitation letters, if necessary.

Article 4 – National Pre-Selection Rounds

a) In the event that, and subject to Article 3(f), if more than four (4) teams from one (1) country register to participate in the Competition, a National Pre-Selection Round shall be held to determine which top teams will represent that country in the International Rounds of the Competition.

b) After the deadline for registration for participation as indicated on the BUREAU's Time Schedule has expired, the BUREAU shall notify the relevant universities of the necessity to appoint a National Committee. The organisation of a National Pre-Selection Round will be delegated, in each country, to a National Committee, in conformity with the RoP for National Pre-Selection Rounds of the Competition. The BUREAU shall be informed by the relevant universities of the constitution and composition of the National Committee no later than the deadline indicated on the BUREAU's Time Schedule.

c) The BUREAU shall supply the Committee with all necessary information and forms.

d) National Pre-Selection Rounds will be held in accordance with the RoP for National Pre-Selection Rounds. The present rules apply to such rounds when questions or issues arise that have not been explicitly provided for in the RoP of those rounds. The cost of organising such a national round shall be the sole responsibility of the National Committee.

Article 5 – Composition and Registration of Teams

a) A team shall be composed of students who are enrolled in an undergraduate (B.A. or LL.B.) or post-graduate (J.D., LL.M.) programme of law studies. Proof must be submitted that the participants are enrolled in the aforementioned programme within four (4) months prior to the first day of the Semi-Finals of the Competition.

b) Each team may have a Faculty Adviser. The Faculty Adviser may be a staff member or an external person designated by the participating Institution, whose name will be registered with the BUREAU.

c) Each team shall be composed of no less than two (2) and no more than three (3) students. Only two (2) team members may plead per session before the panels of judges.

d) The names of the students comprised in a team are to be submitted to the BUREAU by the date for registration indicated on the Time Schedule.

   i) Substitution of team members is prohibited unless a team member has to withdraw due to serious and unexpected circumstances, such as a serious illness, before submitting written memorials. A team contemplating the substitution of a team member shall communicate the circumstances of the request to the BUREAU as soon as possible. At its sole discretion, the BUREAU shall determine whether the request is appropriate and decide whether to allow substitution under the circumstances. To make such determination, the BUREAU may ask for any proof or documentation as it may deem fit.

   ii) A team contemplating withdrawal of a team member shall communicate to the BUREAU as soon as possible. The BUREAU may determine that such withdrawal is in violation of Article 12 of the RoP requiring the minimum number of team members to plead.

e) Non-compliance with this Article shall result in penalties being imposed on the team by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present RoP.

Article 6 – The Case

a) The BUREAU shall invite a duly qualified person to draft the Case. The author of the Case will remain anonymous until the conclusion of the Final. The author of the Case is not involved with the substantive preparation of the Competition and/or with any of the participating teams.

b) Teams may submit written requests for clarification with a maximum of five (5) questions. These requests must be sent to the BUREAU and must be received by the deadline indicated on the Time Schedule. Requests for clarifications may be answered solely at the discretion of the author of the Case.

Article 7 – Outside Assistance

a) Apart from the exceptions laid down in Article 5 b) and 7 b), outside assistance is strictly prohibited.

b) Outside assistance to a team in preparation for the Competition, including that of faculty members, shall be limited to a general discussion of the issues, suggestions as to research sources and training in oral presentation in public.

c) Under no circumstances shall anyone who has in any way and at any stage participated in the drafting of the Case, give assistance to any participating team.

d) By signing a Declaration of Authenticity, a team shall confirm the originality of the submitted Memorials as it is their own work. The Declaration must be signed by all team members and by the Faculty Adviser. The Declaration of Authenticity can be found in Annex III. The Declaration of Authenticity shall not be attached to the memorials but shall be submitted separately to the BUREAU at the time of submission of memorials.

e) Non-compliance with this Article shall result in the levy of penalty points by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the RoP.

Chapter II THE SEMI-FINALS

Article 8 – The Memorials

a) Each memorial shall be written in English.

b) Each Memorial shall contain the following sections, in the following order:

   A. Table of Contents;
   B. List of Abbreviations;
   C. List of Sources (treaties, jurisprudence, literature, etc.);
   D. Statement of Relevant Facts;
   E. Issues;
   F. Summary of Arguments;
   G. Jurisdiction of the Court (or other body vested with adjudicatory power);
   H. Arguments; and
   I. Submissions.

c) The Memorial may also include Annexes; however, such Annexes may in no circumstance, exceed five (5) pages. Failure by a team to adhere to the order mentioned above shall result in the levy of penalty points.

d) The Arguments (Section (H.)) and the Submissions (Section (I.)) together, including footnotes, may not exceed twenty-five (25) pages. Any argument with respect to

jurisdiction or admissibility shall be included in the aforementioned Arguments (Section (H.)).

e) The Memorial shall be typed:

- on A4 size paper (21 cm x 29 3/4 cm);
- in font Times New Roman size 12;
- with a margin of 2.5 cm on both sides;
- with a margin of 2.5 cm at the top and at the bottom; and
- with sections (D.) - (I.) and Annexes with interline 2 (double-spaced).

f) The twenty-five (25) pages of the sections Argument (Section H.) and Submissions (Section I) together shall be numbered consecutively in Arabic numbers (1 - 25).

g) All the other sections of the Memorial shall be numbered consecutively in small Roman numbers (i - ii - ... -iv ... xi ...).

h) Page numbering: Each page shall be numbered in the middle at the top.

i) Footnotes: Footnotes shall be placed at the bottom of the page and must be numbered consecutively throughout the memorial in Arabic numbers.

j) Footnotes and quotations shall not be reduced in size. Footnotes and quotations of more than one (1) line in length may be typed single-spaced. The standard double spacing must be kept between separate footnotes.

k) The listing of the sources in the List of Sources (Section (C.)) and in the footnotes shall be complete and uniform throughout the document. Listings are complete when all cited texts are included in the List of Sources; no other texts shall be listed. Listings are uniform when the rendition of sources in footnotes is the same as the rendition of these sources in the List of Sources.

l) Each Memorial shall include a cover page: The Memorials for the Applicant by a green cover, the Memorials for the Respondent by a red cover. The Cover should correspond to the one indicated in the Annex to the present RoP.

m) The participating teams may not be identified by name or in any other way in the Memorial, except by their designated registration number.

n) The registration number of the team must appear clearly in the middle at the bottom of the cover of the Memorials, as indicated in the 'Cover' annexed to the present RoP.

o) Plagiarism is strictly forbidden. This includes copy-pasting texts without citing and/or not providing references to the original source(s).

p) The Bureau can exclude a team from participation in the semi-finals in case of manifest non-compliance with the rules of the Competition. In such a case, the BUREAU shall forthwith communicate its decision to the Faculty Adviser of the concerned team.

q) Non-compliance with this Article shall result in the levy of penalty points in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules.

Article 9 – Submission of Memorials

a) Each team shall prepare an Applicant's Memorial (A) and a Respondent's Memorial (B). Only the students registered with the BUREAU as team members may research and draft the team's Memorials. No team may revise, substitute, add, delete or in any other manner alter their original Memorials after they have been submitted. In accordance with Article 5 c), a team is composed of a maximum of three (3) students.

b) The BUREAU shall receive (by e-mail to airlawmootcourt@law.leidenuniv.nl) one (1) electronic *.pdf copy of each of: (1) the Applicant's Memorial; and (2) the Respondent's Memorial of each participating team. The deadline for mailing of the Memorials shall be indicated in the BUREAU's official Time Schedule. The email should be titled, "Team ** | Memorial Submission" (where "**" shall correspond to the team number allotted to the team). For any national rounds, another email address, specific to the National Committee may be specified in addition to that above.

c) The BUREAU shall, in accordance with the rules, distribute the appropriate Memorials to the opposing teams, to the members of the Semi-Finals' Moot Courts, to the members of the Bench of the Final Round, and to the members of the International Board of Review.

d) All Memorials in the Competition shall be the property of the BUREAU and may be copyrighted as such.

e) Non-compliance with this Article shall result in the levy of penalties in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present RoP.

Article 10 – Judging the Memorials: The International Board of Review

a) The Memorials for the International Round shall be judged by the International Board of Review (hereinafter "the IBOR").

b) The BUREAU, having consulted the organising parties, shall nominate the members of the IBOR. The IBOR shall consist of eminent persons who meet the required qualifications regarding expertise and impartiality.

c) Each Memorial shall be judged individually by three (3) members of the IBOR, acting independently of one another.

Article 11 – Scoring of Memorials

a) The scores shall be recorded on official scoring sheets supplied by the BUREAU.

b) A grade between thirty (30) and fifty (50) points per Memorial shall be awarded by each member of the Board, excellent being 45-50, good being 40-44, average being 35-39, and poor being 30-34.

c) The scoring factors to be considered, in no particular order, shall include, but not be limited to:

- knowledge of the facts and the legal principles directly applicable to the facts;
- proper analysis of the issues involved;
- use of authorities and extent of research;
- logic and reasoning;
- evidence of original thought;
- clarity and organisation;
- persuasiveness;
- thoroughness;
- grammar and style.

d) The final score for a Memorial shall be the sum of the points awarded by the members of the IBOR minus any penalty points. The maximum number of points that a team can score for the Memorials is: hundred-and-fifty (150) points for the Memorial on behalf of the Applicant and hundred-and-fifty (150) points for the Memorial on behalf of the Respondent.

e) At the end of the Competition, the team having prepared the Best Applicant's Memorial and the team having prepared the Best Respondent's Memorial will be announced. The Best Memorials are those which received the highest final scores.

Article 12 – The Oral Arguments

a) Time, Please and Order of Presentation

i) The BUREAU shall determine the place of the presentation of the oral arguments of the Competition. The BUREAU shall determine the pleading schedule, i.e. the time and order of the presentation of the oral arguments, of the Semi-Finals by secret ballot, while the pleading order of the Final Round shall be determined in accordance with Article 15 of the RoP.

ii) The pleadings shall be held before a Moot Court constituted in accordance with Article 13 of the RoP.

b) Form and Content of the Oral Argument

i) Each team shall prepare an oral argument for the Applicant and an oral argument for the Respondent. The oral arguments shall be presented in English. Each team shall present an oral argument in the sessions of the Semi-Finals, twice as Applicant and twice as Respondent.

ii) Per each of the four (4) sessions of the semi-finals, only two (2) team members shall present the team's oral arguments.

iii) Only students registered with the BUREAU as team members shall act as oralists.

iv) During the Semi-Finals' oral arguments, all team members (with a maximum of three (3)) shall be present in the sessions in which their team is pleading. Teams shall be seated behind their table at the time indicated for the start of the session. None of the team members, Faculty Adviser, or persons affiliated with the team shall be allowed to attend any other sessions.

v) The scope of an oralist's pleadings is not limited to the scope of his/her submitted Memorial.

vi) Each team shall have a right of reply, referred to as the 'Rebuttal' for the Applicant and 'Surrebuttal' for the Respondent.

vii) The scope of the Applicant's rebuttal shall be limited to the scope of the Respondent's arguments proper and the scope of the Respondent's surrebuttal shall be limited to the scope of the Applicant's rebuttal. Failure to keep within these limitations shall be taken into account by the Bench in their assessments.

viii) Per session, each team (Applicant and Respondent) shall be allowed a total of forty (40) minutes to present its oral argument, including the time required to answer any questions which may be put to it by the Bench and including the time for the rebuttal or surrebuttal. No more than ten (10) minutes of this time shall be reserved for rebuttal or surrebuttal.

ix) Per session, no team member shall plead for more than twenty-five (25) minutes.

x) Extension of the maximum pleading time beyond forty (40) minutes, may be granted at the sole discretion of the Bench, however, this extension, in no circumstance, may exceed five (5) minutes.

xi) No oral or written communication may take place between the team table or the oralist and their accompanying staff members or any member of the public during the session in which that team presents its oral arguments, rebuttal or surrebuttal.

xii) No oral or written communication may take place between the team table and the oralist while the oralist is presenting his/her argument. This does not preclude an oralist from taking a treaty or similar document from the team table when the oralist has been questioned about such a document.

xiii) The use of exhibits is not permitted without prior authorisation of the BUREAU.

xiv) During the Semi-Finals, team members are not permitted to wear or carry garments or items which could indicate the identity of their university or country of origin.

xv) The BUREAU shall strive to ensure that during the Semi-Finals no team shall plead against any other team more than once.

xvi) Non-compliance of the teams with their responsibilities under this Rule shall result in the levy of penalty points by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules. Non-compliance with Article 12 b) vii) specifically will be taken into account by the Bench at the time of scoring.

Article 13 – Semi-Finals: Judging the Oral Arguments

a) The Moot Court

i) The oral arguments shall be judged by a Bench consisting of upto three (3) judges.

ii) The BUREAU shall invite duly qualified persons to act as members of the Bench for the Semi-Finals.

iii) Each oral argument shall be judged individually by the judges, acting independently of one another. During the Semi-Finals, no member of the Bench shall judge a team of his/her own nationality.

iv) The President of the Court has the final say in the amount of extra time given, in accordance with Article 12 b) x).

v) Each of the judges may interrupt the oralist to ask a question. However, the President may overrule that there is no time left for such question.

b) Scoring by the Members of the Bench

i) The score shall be kept on official scoring sheets, supplied by the BUREAU.

ii) A overall grade between thirty (30) and fifty (50) points per oral argument shall be awarded by each judge based on set critiera, excellent being 45-50, good being 40-44, average being 35-39, and poor being 30-34. The score per session for the oral argument of a team shall be the total of the points awarded.

iii) The Bench shall especially, but not exclusively, take into consideration competence, the inclusion of all relevant factors, structure and logic of the argument, knowledge of

points of international law directly applicable to the facts, soundness of the argument presented, evidence of original thought, and the response to questions.

iv) The final score for an oral argument shall be the sum of the points awarded by the judges for the two (2) sessions of the Semi-Finals minus the sum of the penalty points. The maximum number of points that a team can score for the oral arguments in the Semi-Finals, both as Applicant and as Respondent is three hundred (300).

c) The Best Oral Arguments

i) At the end of the Competition, the team presenting the Best Oral Arguments for the Applicant and the team presenting the Best Oral Arguments for the Respondent shall be announced.

ii) The Best Oral Arguments are those which received the highest final scores.

Article 14 - Best Oralist Award

a) During the semi-finals, the judges may nominate who they deem to be the Best Oralist by attributing a score of ten (10). In nominating the Best Oralist, the judges will take into consideration the clarity and style of the presentation, fluency, debating skill and powers of persuasion. The legal contents of the argumentation shall not be taken into consideration.

b) The Oralist who is receives the highest number of scores of ten (10) will be awarded the Best Oralist Award.

Chapter III THE FINAL ROUNDS

Article 15 – Ranking for the Final Round

a) The Final Round shall be held between the best Applicant-team (A) and the best Respondent-team (B). The best Applicant-team (A) and the best Respondent-team (B) are the teams with the highest total score on the Applicant-side and on the Respondent-side respectively, the highest total score being the sum of the final score for the Memorial and the final score for the oral arguments on the Applicant-side and on the Respondent-side respectively.

b) If there are two (2) or more teams on the Applicant's or Respondent's side with the same highest total score as defined above, the team with the highest final score in the oral arguments of the Semi-Finals shall be ranked highest. In case the final scores for the Semi-Finals' oral arguments are also identical, the finalist will be determined by ballot.

c) In case the highest total score on the Applicant's side and the highest total score team on the Respondent's side belong to the same national team, the side with the highest score of the two (Applicant or Respondent) shall represent the team in the Final Round. When the total

scores for both sides are identical, the side with the highest final score for the Semi-Finals' oral argument will go to the Final Round. In case these scores are identical, the finalist side will be determined by ballot. The opponent shall be the team representing the Applicant or Respondent, as required, with the second-highest total score as defined above. In case of a shared second place, the above procedure will be followed to determine the opponent finalists.

d) This Article applies regardless of whether or not the highest-ranking Applicant and Respondent teams have met each other during the Semi-Finals.

Article 16 – Final Round: Judging of the Memorials and of the Oral Arguments

a) The Memorials and the oral arguments shall be judged by a Bench consisting of three (3) Judges.

b) The BUREAU shall invite highly qualified persons to act as members of the Bench for the Final Round.

c) Each Memorial and Oral argument shall be judged individually by the three (3) Judges.

d) The earlier scores for the Memorials and for the Semi-Finals' oral arguments shall not be communicated to or taken into account by the Final Round Moot Court.

e) There will be no specific scores given by the Final Round Moot Court for the Memorials and the oral presentations.

Article 17 – The Winner of the Competition

a) The winner of the Final Round will be the team so decided by the members of the Final Round Moot Court.

b) The winner of the Final Round shall be considered to be the Winner of the Competition. This team shall receive the Competition’s Trophy to hold in trust until the following year's Competition.

c) An overall ranking of the teams shall be provided based on the overall team scores. The overall team score is the sum of the final scores for the Memorials and the final scores for the oral arguments of both the Applicant and the Respondent side of one and the same national team. At the end of the Competition, the team with the highest overall team score will be announced.

Chapter IV PENALTIES

Article 18 – Penalties

a) During the Competition, penalties may lead to the disqualification of a team, or to penalty points being deducted from the sum of the points awarded by the IBOR for a team's Memorials or from the sum of the points awarded by the Judges for a team's oral arguments presented during the Semi-Finals.

b) Penalties shall be exacted in accordance with the Annex of Penalties attached to the present RoP.

Chapter V FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 19 – Powers of the International Air Law Moot Court Bureau

a) The BUREAU, in interpreting the present RoP and the RoP for the National Pre-Selection Rounds of the Competition, may take such other discretionary measures as it may deem advisable for the sake of fairness and the orderly conduct of the Competition.

b) The BUREAU may depart from the present RoP should circumstances so require. In such an event, it shall inform all concerned participants as soon as possible, providing a statement of reasons for the departure.

c) Any decision or ruling handed down by the BUREAU on matters concerning the present RoP, the RoP for the National Pre-Selection Rounds, or any other matters regarding the state of affairs of the Competition is final. It is not possible to appeal the decision.

d) The BUREAU reserves the right to modify the present RoP regarding penalty points until the registration closes. After this date the present RoP are final.

ANNEX I

COVER INDICATION [Colour]

[Green for the Applicant]
[Red for the Respondent]

INTERNATIONAL AIR LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION [year]

CASE OF [NAME] STATE A v. STATE B

MEMORIAL SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF STATE [A or B]

TEAM NUMBER [Number-A] or [Number-B]

ANNEX II
Penalties

Article 1 – Aims

a) This Annex on Penalties forms an integral part of the present RoP.

b) The aim of this Annex on Penalties is to ensure a fair and sportive contest inthe Competition by providing means for ensuring compliance with the relevant provisions of the RoP.

Article 2 – Penalties for Membership: Registration and Participation

a) Violation of the following provisions in the RoP shall entail the corresponding penalties –

i) Violation of Article 5 RoP: disqualification of the team;
ii) Violation of Article 7 RoP: disqualification of the team.

Article 3 – Penalties for Memorials

a) Violation of the following provisions of the RoP shall entail the corresponding penalty points–

i) Violation of Article 8 a) RoP: disqualification of the team;
ii) Violation of Article 8 b) and c) RoP: 2 points per missing section, or per violation;
iii) Violation of Article 8 d) RoP: 5 points per page over 25 pages;
iv) Violation of Article 8 e) RoP: 5 points per violation per single requirement mentioned, with a maximum of 25 points for 5 or more violations per single requirement mentioned;
v) Violation of Article 8 f), g), h), and i) RoP: 1 point per violation per single requirement mentioned, with a maximum of 2 points for 2 or more violations per single requirement mentioned;
vi) Violation of Article 8 j) RoP: 1 point per violation per single requirement mentioned, with a maximum of 4 points for 4 or more violations per single requirement mentioned;
vii) Violation of Article 8 k) RoP: 2 points for 1-5 violations per single requirement mentioned; 4points for 6-10 violations per single requirement mentioned; 6 points formore than 10 violations per single requirement mentioned;
viii) Violation of Article 8 l) RoP: 1 point per violation;
ix) Violation of Article 8 m) RoP: 6 points per violation;
x) Violation of Article 8 n) RoP: 2 points per violation;
xi) Violation of Article 8 o) RoP: disqualification of the team;
xii) Violation of Article 9 a) RoP: disqualification of the team;

xiii) Violation of Article 9 b) RoP: 2 points per copy not received; 6 points per day for delay in the submission of the Memorials with a maximum of 48 points and disqualification of the team in case of a delay of 8 calendar days or more.

Article 4 – Penalties for Oral Arguments

a) Violation of the following provisions in Article 12 b) of the RoP shall entail the corresponding penalties:

i) disqualification of the team;
ii) 10 points per violation per single requirement mentioned;
iii) disqualification of the team;
iv) disqualification of the team; late arrival 5 points per minute;
v) 5 points per extra minute;
vi) 5 points per minute more, unless Article 12 b) x) applies;
vii) disqualification of the team;
viii) disqualification of the team;
ix) 10 points per violation;
x) disqualification of the team.

Article 5 – Cheating, Intimidation and other Unfair Behaviour

a) Pursuant to Article 19 of the RoP, the BUREAU shall have the discretion, after giving the team in question the opportunity to be heard, to award penalty points or to disqualify a team for cheating, intimation and other unfair behaviour that has the effect or the intended effect of unfairly improving its performance or reducing the other team's performance during a match. The decision of the BUREAU shall be final.

b) Pursuant to Article 19 of the present RoP, the BUREAU shall have the discretion, after giving the team in question the opportunity to be heard, to award penalty points or to disqualify a team for conducting itself in a manner that has the purpose, effect or intended effect of bringing the Competition into disrepute.

c) The BUREAU shall be competent to impose any penalties under this Annex in all Rounds of the Competition and both in the Semi-Finals and Finals, with due regard to the aim stated in Article 1 of the present Annex.

Article 6 – Final Provisions

a) The Leiden BUREAU reserves the right to modify the penalty point scheme until the closing of the registration.

ANNEX III

Declaration of Authenticity

We further hereby certify that this is an original work, that these submitted Memorials do not contain any materials from other sources unless, these sources have been clearly identified in footnotes, and any and all quotations have been properly marked as such and full attribution made to the author(s) thereof.

Memorials team number:

Name

Signature Student Date and Place

Name

Signature Student Date and Place

Name

Signature Student Date and Place

END OF DOCUMENT